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Abstract
In this paper, dynamic analysis of a parallel robot is presented using the most popular approach named the Newton-Euler formulation. In this method, the dynamic formulation for each limb and the moving platform must be derived which leads to the calculation of all forces, and this can be helpful in the design of parallel manipulator. In this way, dynamic formulations of the proposed model are used in motion control strategy of this robot to track and analyze its performance.
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1. Introduction
Dynamic analysis of parallel robots is more complex than the serial robots due to their closed loop structure. However, dynamic modeling is relatively essential for control strategies, where precision and desired dynamic performance are required. Numerous techniques have been used for the dynamic analysis of parallel robot [1][2][3]. These robots have many types of applications. The type we are interested in is when the moving platform of the manipulator precisely tracks a desired trajectory with respect to definite period, without need to interactive forces to be applied to the environment. A typical application for this situation can be realized in the motion of a flight simulator. In this case, it is required to define the desired path of the robot moving platform via analysis of many conditions and pilot commands, and then the manipulator has to determine the time history of actuator inputs needed to cause such motions [4][5]. In what follows, a number of cases in motion control of the proposed model are analyzed and presented.

2. Kinematic Analysis of 4 RPR Manipulator
Referring to Figure 1 the 4 RPR parallel robot is described as follows: In the base we have four points B₁, B₂, B₃ and B₄. In the moving platform, we have the same situation, four points P₁, P₂, P₃ and P₄. Now each pair of points Bᵢ and Pᵢ is interconnected by a limb of length Lᵢ which is an independent serial leg of type RPR, where R and P stands for revolute and prismatic joint, respectively. αᵢ stands for the limbs angles and Φ for the moving platform orientation. The motion of the platform is controlled by extending or retracting the actuated prismatic joints. A fixed frame O_B: X_BY_B is attached to the fixed base at point O_B, and the position of the center of the moving platform o is marked by o=[x,y]. Hence, the robot is a 3 dof manipulator with a degree of redundancy in the actuators.

3. Dynamic Analysis of 4 RPR robot: Newton Euler Formulation
This section is devoted to an overview on the dynamics of the 4 RPR parallel robot. Dynamic formulation of the limbs and the moving platform are carried out.

3.1 Dynamic formulation of the limbs
Figure 2 illustrate the free body representations of the limbs and the moving platform of the 4 RPR manipulator, where the forces at point Bᵢ are Fᵢ/B/S and Fᵢ/B/P/N, in which êN is perpendicular to the limb and êS is along its direction. In the same way, the forces at point Pᵢ are represented by Fᵢ/P/S and Fᵢ/P/N, and αᵢ are the velocity and acceleration of the limb center of mass. We assume that Fᵢ= [fᵢₓ, fᵢᵧ, rᵢₗ] is the only external disturbance force and moment acting on the robot. The moment of inertia in z-axis about the center of mass is denoted by Iᵢₗ and the limbs are considered rigid bodies with a mass m. The Newton Euler equations are given by:
I, \alpha, and \beta, and \alpha = -32, \beta.

The presentation in Figure 3 of all external forces acting on each segment of the robot and \sum \eta_{ext} is the sum of external moments in the z direction about the center of mass of each segment \(m_i\).

\[ \sum F_{ext} = m_i \alpha_{ext} \quad (1) \]
\[ \sum \eta_{ext} = I_{mi} \alpha_i \quad (2) \]

\[ \sum F_{ext} = Mg + F_r + \sum (F_{P/IRS} \hat{S}_i + F_{P/IN} \hat{N}_i) = M \ddot{\xi} \quad (11) \]
\[ \sum \eta_{ext} = r \ddot{Z} - \sum t_i (F_{P/IRS} \hat{S}_i + F_{P/IN} \hat{N}_i) = I_{ul} \Phi \ddot{Z} \quad (12) \]

Now equation (11) can be written as:
\[ M \ddot{\xi} - M (g \ddot{x} - \dot{r}) + \sum (F_{P/IRS} \hat{S}_i + F_{P/IN} \hat{N}_i) = 0 \quad (13) \]

The unit vector \( \hat{S}_i \) is determined as follows:
\[ \hat{S}_i = [S_{xi}, S_{yi}]^T \], hence, \( \hat{N}_i = [N_{xi}, N_{yi}] = [-S_{yi}, S_{xi}]^T \).

Finally:
\[ M \ddot{\xi} - M (g \ddot{x} - \dot{r}) + \sum (F_{P/IRS} S_i - F_{P/IN} S_i) = 0 \quad (14) \]
\[ M \ddot{y} - M (g \ddot{y} - \dot{r}) + \sum (F_{P/IRS} S_i + F_{P/IN} S_i) = 0 \quad (15) \]
\[ I_{ul} \Phi - \sum (F_{P/IRS} T_i S_i - T_i S_i) - F_{P/P} (T \hat{S}_i) = 0 \quad (16) \]

These equations are leading the motion of the manipulator, where \( F_i = [F_{xi}, F_{yi}, F_{zi}] \) is the wrench applied on the platform, \( F_{P/IRS} \) and \( F_{P/IN} \) the forces interacting between the segments and the platform are derived from the limbs dynamics in the previous section.

4. Motion Control of the 4 RPR Robot

In motion control of a parallel robot, we assume that the controller calculates the necessary actuator forces to make the robot motion pursue a desired trajectory.

We consider Equation (17) representing the general closed form dynamic model for a parallel manipulator:
\[ M(X) \ddot{X} + C(X, \dot{X}) \dot{X} + G(X) = F + F_r \quad (17) \]

Where \( M(X) \), \( C(X, \dot{X}) \), \( G(X) \) and \( F + F_r \) are: the mass matrix, the Coriolis centrifugal matrix, the gravity vector and the generalized forces applied to the platform center of mass plus the disturbance wrench, respectively.

4.1 Controller Description

The control method introduced in this paper is a PD controller shown in Figure 3. This controller consists of \( n \) PD controllers performing on each error component, where \( n \) is the number of the actuators. The PD controller is represented by \( K_p + K_r \) block. \( F = [F_r, \xi_r] \) stand for the output of the PD controller.

Using this configuration, each tracking error element denoted by \( e_i = [e_{xi}, e_{yi}, e_{zi}] \), is treated individually by its
PD controller. The control effort is computed by the follow equation:

\[ F = K_p \dot{e}_x + K_v e_x \]  

(18)

The actuator forces can be generated by:

\[ r = J^{-T} F \]  

(19)

The implementation of the PD controller can be directly done in the joint space by equation:

\[ r = K_p \dot{e}_q + K_v e_q \]  

(20)

5. Simulations and results

The desired trajectory of the moving platform is generated and given in Figure 4.

Case 1: Without measurement noise

As seen in Figure 4, the desired trajectory and the final one generated by the closed loop are identical.

Figure 5 shows that the PD controller is of minimizing the tracking errors to less than 0.013 in position and less than 0.019 in orientation.

Case 2: With measurement noise

In this case, as presented in Figure 7 the tracking errors are not much increased compared to the case without noise.

Figure 8 shows that the necessary actuator forces to perform such operation are very oscillatory. Considering that high gains provided by PD controllers are used to adjust the tracking errors. The amplitude of noise is 0.01% of the original signal, also the PD controller gain is higher than 10^4. So practically producing such actuator force is not feasible; hence, the tracking errors shown in Figure 7 are not possible.

6. Conclusion

In this paper a dynamic study for the 4 RPR parallel robot is presented. A proportional–derivative controller architecture is described and applied on the dynamic model in order to analyze the motion control performances of the proposed structure.
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